

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,124	09/24/2003	Eduardo Morais	1-24094	2550	
46582	7590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER		
	AN, SOBANSKI & TO	KRAMER,	KRAMER, DEVON C		
ONE MARI 720 WATER	TIME PLAZA - FOURT R STREET	H FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TOLEDO, O	OH 43604		3683		
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/22/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	1			
V		10/670,1	24	MORAIS ET AL.				
ď	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Devon C	Kramer	3683				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FORMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNING IN IT IS COMMUNING THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNING IN IT IS A WAY TO A WAY THE WAY TO A WAY THE W	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap.	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
		2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9 and 17</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>10-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	<u>7-20</u> is/are withdrawn						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) 🔲 🤼	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11)[Γhe oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim of All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the the attached detailed Office actions	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Application ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment	(s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔯 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 1/2/04.	TO-948) PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/670,124

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1) Applicant's election without traverse of group II in a telephone conversation examiner Len Tren had with Mr. Doug Pavelko on 1/21/05 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-9 and 16-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the phone conversation on 1/21/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 3) Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weiler et al (5472068).

In re claim 10, Weiler et al provides a cast brake caliper (abstract) for a disc brake assembly including: a cast brake caliper having an inboard leg portion and an outboard leg portion (figure 2) which are interconnected by and intermediate bridge portion (4), the caliper having a pair of location surfaces (3) provided on the inboard leg portion and a locating surface (8) provided on the outboard leg portion; the locating surfaces are integrally formed by a core member of a casting apparatus during the

Application/Control Number: 10/670,124

Art Unit: 3683

casting of the caliper. Please note that it is inherent that the member of Weiler's caliper are integrally formed.

IN re claim 11, please note that a number of portions of Weiler can be considered a locating surface, for example the portion which allows item (8) to seat is a recess.

Applicant should further define what is meant by a location surface.

In re claim 12, please note that the location surfaces on the inboard leg are flat.

IN re claim 13, see figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5) Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiler et al (5472068) in view of Kobayashi et al (5518062).

Weiler et al is silent to the type of mold used to cast the caliper.

Kobayashi et al teaches different molds used to cast brakes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have made the cast caliper of Weiler et al by either a vertical or horizontal mold as taught by Kobayashi et al depending on the orientation of the parts to be cast and the difficulty of forming the mold.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Weiler et al '228, Rogers et al, Kobayashi et al ('368, '815, '795), Schorn et al, and Girkin et al all teach casting caliper parts.
- 7) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C Kramer whose telephone number is 703-305-0839. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 703-308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DK

Des 2/16/05